## **Marine Knowledge Infrastructure**

| Who are you?  |   |  |
|---|---|--|
| What is your name? (Family name then forenames) This will not be published.   |   |  |
| Hall, Stephen   |   |  |
| what is your contact e-mail? sph@noc.soton.ac.uk  |   |  |
| You can either reply in a personal capacity or on behalf of your organisation   | I am replying on behalf of organisation   |  |
| What is the name of your organisation?  National Oceanography Centre, Southampton UK  |   |  |
| Who do you work for or represent?   | public research institution   |  |
| Where are you mainly based? Where are you or your organisation located? (for regional administrations). From which country is the region you represent? | United Kingdom  |  |
| Is a marine knowledge infrastructure relevant to your work?   | Yes   |  |
| Which European seas are you most directly interested in (you can indicate up to six)?   | Arctic Ocean (including Barents Sea and Greenland Sea) Bay of Biscay and the Iberian Coast North-East Atlantic West of Scotland, Irish Sea, Celtic Seas Waters surrounding the Azores, Madeira and the Canary Islands Other |  |
| which other seas  |   |  |
| Mediterranean   |   |  |
| Which (if any) of these other seas or marine basins interests you most  | Arctic Indian Ocean Caribbean North Atlantic South Atlantic Southern Ocean  |  |
| what scale are you primarily interested in (maximum of 2)?  | shelf<br>open ocean   |  |
| Working with marine data and observations   |   |  |
| Are you or your organisation directly involved with collecting, processing or using marine data and observations?                                       | yes   |  |
| Why does your organisation require data and observations? (choose a minimum of 1 and a  | to understand the behaviour of the planet to provide advice for marine management (eg   |  |

|  | isheries catch limits)<br>or teaching students   |
|--|--|
| your organisation if you are replying on   | collecting data processing data for intermediate users using data for a particular application |
| How soon after a measurement or observation are data required? If you require data at different times then select the fastest. | mmediately (near real-time)  |
| end-user or customer i   | aw data<br>ndicators<br>naps<br>cientific reports<br>rends                                     |
| Importance of data to your work  |  |
| bathymetric data (water depth, digital terrain<br>data)  | required   |
| meteorological data (wind, air temperature)  | required   |
| other physical data (temperature, current, salinity, waves, tides)   | required   |
| geology, sediments, geohazards, strata,<br>sea-floor habitats  | required   |
| chemicals  | required   |
| biology, speciation, biodiversity (except for fish)  | required   |
| fisheries data - landings, effort, size, age etc   | useful   |
| human activity (except fishing), gravel<br>extraction, petroleum, renewable energy,<br>aquaculture etc                         | useful   |
| ease of working with data  |  |
| Discovery: can you find all the data you need easily or does it take a lot of effort to find who holds the data?               | there could be some improvements   |
| Access: do organisations holding the data provide it to you willingly  | there could be some improvements   |
| Use: are you allowed to use the data for whatever purposes you want or are you restricted to certain uses?                     | there could be some improvements   |
| Is your budget sufficient to pay for the data  | there could be some improvements   |

| you need?   |   |
|---|---|
| Coherence: are marine data sufficiently interoperable? is it straightforward to mix and match data from different laboratories, different countries or different disciplines? | there could be some improvements                    |
| Quality: do you have enough information about the quality (accuracy and precision) of the data you use?   | there could be some improvements                    |
| Temporal resolution: The sampling is sufficient. More frequent sampling would not improve accuracy.   | there are significant barriers to efficient working |
| Spatial resolution: Spatial resolution is sufficient. A finer spatial resoultion would not improve the the accuracy of your work?   | there are significant barriers to efficient working |

## **Design of Marine Data Infrastructure**

Which existing bodies or organisations could provide a disciplinary (eg geology) or regional data hub British Oceanographic Data Centre (BODC) Liverpool UK National Oceanography Centre Data Team, Southampton UK

| Southampton UK  |                |  |
|---|----------------|--|
| Principles for marine data infrastructure   |                |  |
| Data should be collected bearing in mind that it can be used for many purposes?   | strongly agree |  |
| Interoperable standards, formats and nomenclatures across borders and across disciplines should be developed  | strongly agree |  |
| Specific action is needed at sea-basin level to check sampling, coherence and quality. For instance to produce gridded data.                                    | strongly agree |  |
| Without sustainable financial support from the EU, it will be extremely difficult to build up a sustainable European infrastructure                             | strongly agree |  |
| The priorities for a European Marine Data and Observation Network in terms of the particular types of data being made available should be defined by the users. | strongly agree |  |
| It is important that an operational European and<br>Marine Observation and Data Network builds on<br>structures and organisations that already exist            | strongly agree |  |
| Data should be accompanied by indications of of ownership, accuracy and precision   | strongly agree |  |
| Data collected using public funding should be freely available at marginal cost to all other  | strongly agree |  |

| public and private bodies.   |  |
|--|--|
| Roadmap  |  |
| Have you read the Commission Staff Working Document "Building a European marine knowledge infrastructure: Roadmap for a European Marine Observation and Data Nework" http://ec.europa.eu/maritimeaffairs /pdf/roadmap_emodnet_en.pdf   | yes  |
| Have you read the whole document or only the executive summary?  | whole document   |
| Do you agree with this roadmap?  | strongly agree   |
| Do you have any comments on the roadmap?   |  |
|  | t strong enough on the need to gather observations.  y of observations. The private sector also has a large e for planning purposes. |
| Options for Moving Ahead   |  |
| The EU has a role in improving Europe's marine data infrastructure   | yes  |
| options for moving forward   |  |
| The EU should contribute towards the collection of marine data (over and above the fisheries data and space-based data which are already supported to some extent)   |  |
| The EU should support the assembly and qual checking of marine data at a sea-basin scale order to facilitate discovery and access of coherent data and to highlight the completeness and consistency of the monitoring networks  | 9  |
| The EU should support the production or parameters or indicators based on the cohere sea-basin data that can directly contribute towards the maritime economy, coastal communities or the marine environment - tsunami warnings, wind-farm suitability, fish spawning grounds, species extinction risk etc | strongly agree   |

Do you have any other points that you would like to make?

NOCS strongly supports EMODNET. Working with other European Marine research institutes we co-drafted the Aberdeen Declaration in 2007 which mentions EMODNET. In the UK the Marine and Coastal Access Bill and Scottish Marine Bill would benefit from the enhanced data infrastructure proposed under EMODNET to achieve well-informed marine spatial planning. We support the concept of 'collect once, use many times' for marine data - observations are essential. The private sector can be encouraged to make their data available to the wider public.

| Meta Informations  |
|--------------------|
|                    |
| Creation date      |
| 01-06-2009         |
|                    |
| Last update date   |
|                    |
|                    |
| User name          |
| null               |
|                    |
| Case Number        |
| 076361019391815209 |
|                    |
| Invitation Ref.    |
|                    |
|                    |
| Status             |
| N                  |